

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,389	10/12/2001	Joseph P. Smith	RAY-120J	2781
759	90 02/18/2003			
Iandiorio & Teska 260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			EXAMINER	
			CHAMBERS, TROY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/976,389	SMITH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	J.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Troy Chambers	3641				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
1)□ 2a)⊠	•	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  4) ◯ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 12-20 and 23-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11,21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.							
-							
Application Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)⊡ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		·				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
L							

Application/Control Number: 09/976,389

Art Unit: 3641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 24 December 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the inclusion of the limitation "monolithic form" creates a patentable distinction over the cited prior art. However, it is clear that either the crane structure supporting the rails or the fixed wing of an aircraft for which the rails are intended to be suspended, would clearly serve as a monolithic form.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-9, 12, 15-20, 23 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Defense Systems Daily ("DSD"). Specifically, refer to page 2, paragraph 6 for relevant disclosure. A supporting article (Defense Procurement Agency ("DPA")) provides pictorial support for the three-rail launcher disclosed in DSD.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/976,389

Art Unit: 3641

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 13, 14, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DSD and DPA articles referenced above. The articles disclose a three-rail launcher platform. But, the article does not disclose the composition of said platform. However, the selection of aluminum or a composite as the material of choice is well within the knowledge of one with ordinary skill in the art and amounts to an obvious engineering decision. At the time of the invention, the selection of such material would have been obvious to provide increased strength and decreased weight characteristics to the platform.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10, 11, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/976,389

Art Unit: 3641

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-7687.

Supervisch.

... LAKEMER